

# Approximation by semigroup of spherical operators

Yuguang WANG, Feilong CAO

Department of Mathematics, China Jiliang University, Hangzhou 310018, China

**Abstract** This paper concerns about the approximation by a class of positive exponential type multiplier operators on the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^n$  of the  $(n + 1)$ -dimensional Euclidean space for  $n \geq 2$ . We prove that such operators form a strongly continuous contraction semigroup of class  $(\mathcal{C}_0)$  and show the equivalence between the approximation errors of these operators and the  $K$ -functionals. We also give the saturation order and the saturation class of these operators. As examples, the  $r$ th Boolean of the generalized spherical Abel-Poisson operator  $\oplus^r V_t^\gamma$  and the  $r$ th Boolean of the generalized spherical Weierstrass operator  $\oplus^r W_t^\kappa$  for integer  $r \geq 1$  and reals  $\gamma, \kappa \in (0, 1]$  have errors  $\|\oplus^r V_t^\gamma f - f\|_{\mathcal{X}} \asymp \omega^{r\gamma}(f, t^{1/\gamma})_{\mathcal{X}}$  and  $\|\oplus^r W_t^\kappa f - f\|_{\mathcal{X}} \asymp \omega^{2r\kappa}(f, t^{1/(2\kappa)})_{\mathcal{X}}$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $0 \leq t \leq 2\pi$ , where  $\mathcal{X}$  is the Banach space of all continuous functions or all  $\mathcal{L}^p$  integrable functions,  $1 \leq p < +\infty$ , on  $\mathbb{S}^n$  with norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{X}}$ , and  $\omega^s(f, t)_{\mathcal{X}}$  is the modulus of smoothness of degree  $s > 0$  for  $f \in \mathcal{X}$ . Moreover,  $\oplus^r V_t^\gamma$  and  $\oplus^r W_t^\kappa$  have the same saturation class if  $\gamma = 2\kappa$ .

**Keywords** Sphere, semigroup, approximation, modulus of smoothness, multiplier

**MSC** 42C10, 41A25

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{S}^n$  be the unit sphere of  $(n + 1)$ -dimensional Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . Denote by

$$\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{S}^n) := \mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{S}^n, \sigma_n), \quad 1 \leq p < +\infty,$$

the complex-valued  $\mathcal{L}^p$ -function space with respect to the surface measure  $\sigma_n$  on  $\mathbb{S}^n$ , and let  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^n)$  be the space of all complex-valued continuous functions. Denote by  $|\mathbb{S}^n| := \sigma_n(\mathbb{S}^n)$  the volume of  $\mathbb{S}^n$ . Any square integrable function  $f$

---

Corresponding author: Feilong CAO, E-mail: feilongcao@gmail.com

on  $\mathbb{S}^n$  admits the Fourier-Laplace expansion

$$f = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} Y_k(f),$$

where, letting  $\lambda := (n-1)/2$ ,

$$Y_k(f; \mathbf{x}) := \frac{\Gamma(\lambda)(k+\lambda)}{2\pi^{\lambda+1}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} C_k^{(\lambda)}(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}) f(\mathbf{y}) d\sigma_n(\mathbf{y}) \quad (1.1)$$

is the projection of  $f$  onto the space  $\Pi_k^n$  of all spherical polynomials of degree at most  $k$ , where  $C_k^{(\lambda)}(s)$  ( $-1 \leq s \leq 1$ ) is the Gegenbauer polynomial of degree  $k$  with  $\lambda$ . The Gegenbauer polynomials  $C_k^{(\lambda)}(s)$  ( $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ) are generated by

$$\frac{1}{(1-2su+u^2)^\lambda} = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} C_k^{(\lambda)}(s) u^k, \quad 0 \leq u < 1. \quad (1.2)$$

Letting  $u = e^{-t}$  for  $t > 0$ , by (1.2) and (1.1), we have

$$V_t^1(f; \mathbf{x}) := \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} u^k Y_k(f; \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{|\mathbb{S}^n|} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \frac{(1-u^2) f(\mathbf{y}) d\sigma_n(\mathbf{y})}{(1-2u(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}) + u^2)^{\lambda+1}}. \quad (1.3)$$

Formula (1.3) defines the Abel-Poisson sum of  $f$  of  $\mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{S}^n)$ . The convergence of (1.2) is guaranteed by the convergence of (1.3).

Let  $B$  be a Banach space, and let  $T$  be an operator on  $B$ . Then the  $K$ -functional induced by  $T$  is given by

$$K_T(f, t)_B := \inf\{\|f - g\|_B + t\|T(g)\|_B : T(g) \in B\}. \quad (1.4)$$

Dai and Ditzian [8] proved the equivalence between the approximation error of the Abel-Poisson sum and the  $K$ -functional. In more details, let  $\mathcal{X}$  be either the  $\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{S}^n)$  or  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^n)$ , and let  $\mathcal{V}$  be the operator on  $\mathcal{X}$  such that its eigenvalues are  $-k$  for  $\Pi_k^n$ ,  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Then,

$$\|V_t^1(f) - f\|_{\mathcal{X}} \asymp K_{\mathcal{V}}(f, t)_{\mathcal{X}}. \quad (1.5)$$

This equivalence shows the approximation capability of the Abel-Poisson sum for the  $\mathcal{L}^p$  and the continuous spaces in terms of the  $K$ -functional.

Let  $q = q(x)$  be a polynomial and  $0 < \gamma \leq 1$ . In this paper, we generalize (1.5) to a class of *exponential type operators*  $\{T_{q,t}^\gamma : 0 \leq t < +\infty\}$  that have Fourier-Laplace expansion of

$$T_{q,t}^\gamma(f) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} e^{-(q(k))^\gamma t} Y_k(f), \quad t > 0, \quad (1.6)$$

and we let

$$T_{q,0}^\gamma(f) := f. \quad (1.7)$$

We say that  $T_{q,t}^\gamma$  is *regular* if the degree of  $q(x)$  and the coefficient of the first term are both positive and  $q(0) = 0$ . An operator  $T$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  is said to be *positive* if  $T(f) \geq 0$  whenever  $f \geq 0$ . We note that when  $q(x) = x$  and  $\gamma = 1$ ,  $T_{q,t}^\gamma$  reduces to the Abel-Poisson sum of (1.3). The regular and positive  $T_{q,t}^\gamma$  form a special semigroup with respect to  $t$ , as follows.

For a Banach space  $B$ , let  $\{T_t: t \geq 0\}$  be a semigroup of operators on  $B$ . We say that  $T_t$  strongly converges to  $M$  in  $B$  as  $t \rightarrow t_0$  if the operator norm

$$\|T_t - M\|_{B \rightarrow B} \rightarrow 0, \quad t \rightarrow t_0$$

(the limit may be one-sided). We denote the limit by

$$M := s\text{-}\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0} T_t.$$

Let  $I$  be the identity operator. The semigroup  $T_t$  is said to be a (strongly continuous) *semigroup of class* ( $\mathcal{C}_0$ ) if we have the following two conditions:

$$T_0 = I, \quad T_{t_1+t_2} = T_{t_1}T_{t_2}, \quad t_1, t_2 \geq 0, \quad (1.8a)$$

$$s\text{-}\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} T_t = I. \quad (1.8b)$$

We say that  $T_t$  is a contraction semigroup if for  $t > 0$  and  $f \in B$ ,

$$\|T_t f\|_B \leq \|f\|_B.$$

The *infinitesimal generator*  $\mathcal{A}$  of the semigroup  $\{T_t: 0 \leq t < +\infty\}$  is defined by (see [6, p. 11])

$$\mathcal{A}f := s\text{-}\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{T_t f - f}{t},$$

whenever the limit exists; the domain of  $\mathcal{A}$  is, in symbols  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$ , being the set of elements  $f \in \mathcal{X}$  for which the limit exists; for  $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , the  $r$ th power  $\mathcal{A}^r$  of the infinitesimal generator  $\mathcal{A}$  is defined inductively by the relations

$$\mathcal{A}^0 = I, \quad \mathcal{A}^1 = \mathcal{A},$$

and

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}^r) := \{f: f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}^{r-1}), \mathcal{A}^{r-1}f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})\}, \quad (1.9a)$$

$$\mathcal{A}^r f := \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}^{r-1}f) = s\text{-}\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{T_t - I}{t} \mathcal{A}^{r-1}f, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}^r). \quad (1.9b)$$

See, e.g., [6, pp. 7, 8].

In Theorem 1 (Section 4), we prove that if the operator  $T_{q,t}^\gamma$  is regular and positive for each  $t \in [0, +\infty)$ , then  $\{T_{q,t}^\gamma: 0 \leq t < +\infty\}$  form a strongly

continuous contraction semigroup of class  $(\mathcal{C}_0)$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}_q^\gamma$  be the operator on  $\mathcal{X}$  such that  $\mathcal{A}_q^\gamma$  has eigenvalues  $-(q(k))^\gamma$  for  $\Pi_k^n$ ,  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}_q^\gamma$  is the infinitesimal generator of the semigroup  $T_{q,t}^\gamma$ . For integer  $r \geq 1$ , the  $r$ th power  $(\mathcal{A}_q^\gamma)^r$  of  $\mathcal{A}_q^\gamma$  is the operator on  $\mathcal{X}$  that has eigenvalues of  $(-q(k))^\gamma$  for  $\Pi_k^n$ . We prove the following Bernstein type inequality for the semigroup  $T_{q,t}^\gamma$ , see Theorem 1.

**Main Theorem—Bernstein type inequality** *Let  $T_{q,t}^\gamma$  defined above be regular and positive. Then*

$$\|\mathcal{A}_q^\gamma T_{q,t}^\gamma f\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq \frac{c}{t} \|f\|_{\mathcal{X}}, \quad (1.10)$$

where the constant  $c$  depends only on  $n$ ,  $q$ , and  $\mathcal{X}$ .

Ditzian and Ivanov [9] proved that the approximation error of the semigroup of class  $(\mathcal{C}_0)$  is equivalent to the  $K$ -functional induced by the infinitesimal generator of the semigroup if the Bernstein type inequality holds for the semigroup. For positive integer  $r$ , the  $r$ th Boolean (sum) of an operator  $T$  on a Banach space  $B$  is defined by

$$\oplus^r T := I - (I - T)^r = - \sum_{i=1}^r (-1)^i \binom{r}{i} T^i, \quad (1.11)$$

and we let  $T^0 := I$ . Ditzian and Ivanov [9] showed that if there exists some constant  $c$  independent of  $t$  and  $f$  such that

$$t \|\mathcal{A} T_t f\|_B \leq c \|f\|_B,$$

then for  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,

$$\|\oplus^r T_t f - f\|_{\mathcal{X}} \asymp K_{\mathcal{A}^r}(f, t^r)_B, \quad \forall f \in B, \forall t \geq 0.$$

By this way, we may obtain from (1.10) that the approximation error of the  $r$ th Boolean of  $T_{q,t}^\gamma$  is equivalent to the  $K$ -functional induced by  $\mathcal{A}_q^\gamma$ , see Theorem 2 in Section 4.

**Main Theorem—Approximation error** *Let  $T_{q,t}^\gamma$  defined above be regular and positive, and let  $r$  be a positive integer. Then*

$$\|f - \oplus^r T_{q,t}^\gamma(f)\|_{\mathcal{X}} \asymp K_{(\mathcal{A}_q^\gamma)^r}(f, t^r)_{\mathcal{X}}, \quad f \in \mathcal{X}, \quad (1.12)$$

where the constants in the inequalities depend only on  $n$ ,  $q$ ,  $\gamma$ , and  $r$ .

Let  $\phi(\rho)$  be a positive function with respect to  $\rho$ ,  $0 < \rho < +\infty$ , which converges monotonically to zero as  $\rho \rightarrow +\infty$ . For a sequence of operators  $\{I_\rho\}_{\rho>0}$  on  $\mathcal{X}$ , assume that there exists  $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$  such that

- (i) if  $\|I_\rho(f) - f\|_{\mathcal{X}} = o(\phi(\rho))$ , then  $I_\rho f = f$  for all  $\rho > 0$ ;
- (ii)  $\|I_\rho(f) - f\|_{\mathcal{X}} = \mathcal{O}(\phi(\rho))$  if and only if  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ .

Then we say  $I_\rho$  are *saturated* on  $\mathcal{X}$  with order  $\mathcal{O}(\phi(\rho))$  and have  $\mathcal{K}$  as their *saturation class*. We refer the reader to [3, p.217] and [11].

For each  $t > 0$ ,  $\oplus^r T_{q,t}^\gamma$  is a positive operator, see the proof of Theorem 2 below. The Booleans  $\oplus^r T_{q,t}^\gamma$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , thus have the saturation order and the saturation class. Let  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{S}^n)$  be the collection of all complex-valued regular Borel measures on  $\mathbb{S}^n$ , and let  $\psi(x)$  be a complex-valued function on the real line. The following function classes will cover the saturation classes of  $\oplus^r T_{q,t}^\gamma$  on  $\mathcal{L}^p$ -space for  $p \in [1, +\infty)$  and the continuous function space. Let, see [3, p.219, Definition 3.2], for  $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{S}^n)$ ,

$$\mathcal{H}(\psi; \mathcal{X}) := \{f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{S}^n) : \text{there exists } \mu \in \mathcal{M} \text{ such that} \\ \psi(k)Y_k f = Y_k(d\mu) \text{ for } k \geq 0\};$$

for  $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{S}^n)$ ,  $1 < p < +\infty$ ,

$$\mathcal{H}(\psi; \mathcal{X}) := \{f \in \mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{S}^n) : \text{there exists } g \in \mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{S}^n) \\ \text{such that } \psi(k)Y_k f = Y_k g \text{ for } k \geq 0\}; \quad (1.13)$$

for  $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^n)$ ,

$$\mathcal{H}(\psi; \mathcal{X}) := \{f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^n) : \text{there exists } g \in \mathcal{L}^{+\infty}(\mathbb{S}^n) \\ \text{such that } \psi(k)Y_k f = Y_k g \text{ for } k \geq 0\}. \quad (1.14)$$

Using Berens, Butzer, and Pawelke's method, see [3, Chapter 3], we have the following saturation theorem for  $T_{q,t}^\gamma$ .

**Saturation Theorem** *Let  $T_{q,t}^\gamma$  be positive and regular. Then  $\oplus^r T_{q,t}^\gamma$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , are saturated with order  $\mathcal{O}(t^r)$  and their saturation class is  $\mathcal{H}(q^{r\gamma}; \mathcal{X})$ .*

If we take  $q(x) = x$  and  $q(x) = x(x + 2\lambda)$ , then  $T_{q,t}^\gamma$  becomes the generalized spherical Abel-Poisson sum and the generalized spherical Weierstrass operator, denoted by  $V_t^\gamma$  and  $W_t^\gamma$ , respectively. For  $\alpha > 0$ , let

$$\omega^\alpha(f, t)_{\mathcal{X}} := \sup\{\|(I - S_\theta)^{\alpha/2} f\|_{\mathcal{X}} : 0 < \theta \leq t\}$$

be the modulus of smoothness of degree  $\alpha$  for  $f \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $t \in [0, 2\pi]$  defined in terms of the translation operator on the sphere:

$$S_\theta(f; \mathbf{x}) := \frac{1}{|\mathbb{S}^{n-1}| \sin^{n-1} \theta} \int_{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} = \cos \theta} f(\mathbf{y}) d\tilde{\sigma}_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{y}),$$

where  $\tilde{\sigma}_{\mathbf{x}}$  is the measure on the subset  $\{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{S}^d : \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} = \cos \theta\}$ . By (1.12) and using the equivalence between the modulus of smoothness and  $K$ -functional, we have the following equivalences for the Booleans  $\oplus^r V_t^\gamma$  and  $\oplus^r W_t^\gamma$ , refer to Theorems 3 and 4 in Section 5.

**Main Theorem—Two Examples** *For positive integer  $r$  and reals  $\gamma, \kappa \in (0, 1]$ , we have*

$$\|f - \oplus^r V_t^\gamma(f)\|_{\mathcal{X}} \asymp \omega^{r\gamma}(f, t^{1/\gamma})_{\mathcal{X}}, \quad (1.15)$$

$$\|f - \oplus^r W_t^\kappa(f)\|_{\mathcal{X}} \asymp \omega^{2r\kappa}(f, t^{1/(2\kappa)})_{\mathcal{X}}, \quad (1.16)$$

where the constants in (1.15) depend only on  $n$ ,  $r$ , and  $\gamma$ , while the constants in (1.16) depend only on  $n$ ,  $r$ , and  $\kappa$ .

The equivalence (1.15) reduces to (1.5) when we take  $r = 1$  and  $\gamma = 1$ . Moreover, Theorem 5 in Section 5 shows that  $\oplus^r V_t^\gamma$  and  $\oplus^r W_t^\kappa$  are both saturated with order  $t^r$  and their saturation classes coincide when  $\gamma = 2\kappa$ .

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 makes some preparations. Section 3 contains two lemmas about the equivalence between two spherical function classes and that between two  $K$ -functionals induced by the multiplier operators. One of these function classes is the saturation class of the positive and regular  $T_{q,t}^\gamma$ . We leave the proofs of the two lemmas to Section 6. Section 4 proves the Bernstein type inequality for  $T_{q,t}^\gamma$  and the equivalence between the approximation error of the Boolean of  $T_{q,t}^\gamma$  and the  $K$ -functional. Section 5 applies the previous results to the generalized spherical Abel-Poisson sum  $\oplus^r V_t^\gamma$  and the generalized Weierstrass operator  $\oplus^r W_t^\kappa$ .

## 2 Preliminaries

Denote by  $c$ ,  $c_i$ , or  $c(i)$  positive constants, where  $i$  is either a positive integer, variable, function, or space on which  $c$  depends only. Their values may be different at different occurrences, even within one formula. The notation  $a \asymp b$  means that there exists a positive constant  $c$  such that

$$c^{-1}b \leq a \leq cb.$$

By  $f(t) = \mathcal{O}_i(t)$ , we mean that there exists some constant  $c_i$  independent of  $t$  such that  $|f(t)| \leq c_i|t|$ , where  $f(t)$  is a function with respect to  $t$  and we write  $f(t) = o(t)$  if  $f(t)/t$  tends to zero as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$  or as  $t \rightarrow t_0$  where  $t_0$  is a real number. The collection of all positive integers are denoted by  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ . We denote the generalized binomial coefficient by

$$\binom{r}{k} := \frac{\Gamma(r+1)}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(r-k+1)}.$$

For a sequence  $\{a_\ell: \ell = 0, 1, \dots\}$ , let

$$\vec{\Delta} a_\ell := a_\ell - a_{\ell+1}$$

be the forward difference of  $a_\ell$ . We will use the method of *summation by parts*: for sequences  $a_\ell, b_\ell$ ,  $\ell \geq 0$ , let

$$B_\ell := \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} b_j, \quad a_{-1} := 0.$$

Then,

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^n a_\ell b_\ell = \sum_{\ell=0}^n (\vec{\Delta} a_\ell) B_\ell + a_{n+1} B_n.$$

Let  $\mathbb{S}^n$  be the unit sphere of the  $(n+1)$ -dimensional Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . For points  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  in  $\mathbb{S}^n$ ,  $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}$  denotes the inner product in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . Let  $\sigma_n$  be the surface measure on  $\mathbb{S}^n$  and denote by  $\sigma$  for convenience if there is no confusion. The volume of  $\mathbb{S}^n$  is

$$|\mathbb{S}^n| = \sigma_n(\mathbb{S}^n) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} d\sigma(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{2\pi^{n/2}}{\Gamma(n/2)}.$$

Let  $A$  be a statement. We denote by  $A$  a.e. if  $A$  holds for almost every  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{S}^n$  with respect to  $\sigma$ .

**Function spaces** The set  $\mathcal{L}^{+\infty}(\mathbb{S}^n)$  forms a Banach space with norm

$$\|f\|_{+\infty} := \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{+\infty}(\mathbb{S}^n)} := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \mathbb{S}^n} |f(x)|$$

and the set  $\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{S}^n)$  forms a Banach space with the norm

$$\|f\|_p := \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{S}^n)} := \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} |f(\mathbf{x})|^p d\sigma(\mathbf{x}) \right\}^{1/p} < +\infty, \quad 1 \leq p < +\infty.$$

The set  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^n)$  is a Banach space with norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{C}} := \max_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{S}^n} |f(\mathbf{x})|.$$

The set  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{S}^n)$  is a Banach space with norm

$$\|\mu\|_{\mathcal{M}} := \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} |d\mu(\mathbf{x})|.$$

We may denote the spaces  $\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{S}^n)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$ ,  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^n)$ , and  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{S}^n)$  by  $\mathcal{L}^p$ ,  $\mathcal{C}$ , and  $\mathcal{M}$ , respectively, for convenience. Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be either  $\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{S}^n)$ ,  $1 \leq p < +\infty$ , or  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^n)$ . The dual space of  $\mathcal{X}$ , the collection of all bounded linear functionals on  $\mathcal{X}$ , is denoted by  $\mathcal{X}^*$ .

**Spherical harmonics** Let

$$\Delta := \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} + \cdots + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{n+1}^2}$$

be the Laplace operator on  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . A function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  is said to be harmonic if  $\Delta f = 0$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{Q}_k^n$  the set of all homogeneous and harmonic polynomials of degree  $k$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , and by  $H_k^n$  the set of the restrictions on  $\mathbb{S}^n$  of all functions

from  $\mathcal{Q}_k^n$ . Let  $\Pi_k^n$  be the set of all restrictions on  $\mathbb{S}^n$  of polynomials on  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . Then  $\Pi_k^n$  is the linear span of  $H_j^n$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, k$ , i.e.,

$$\Pi_k^n = \text{span}\{H_j^n : 0 \leq j \leq k\}.$$

Moreover,  $\cup_{k=0}^{+\infty} H_k^n$  is dense in  $\mathcal{X}$  and in particular,  $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{S}^d)$  is the direct sum of all  $H_k^n$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots$ , see, e.g., [16, Theorem 1.1.6].

**Convolutions** A function  $f \in \mathcal{X}$  is said to be a zonal function with  $\mathbf{x}_0$  on  $\mathbb{S}^n$  if for some fixed  $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathbb{S}^n$ ,  $f(\mathbf{x}_0 \cdot \mathbf{y})$  is a constant when  $\mathbf{x}_0 \cdot \mathbf{y}$  is unchanged. For  $1 \leq p < +\infty$ , the collection of all zonal functions with  $\mathbf{x}_0$  in  $\mathcal{L}^p$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{L}_\lambda^p(\mathbb{S}^n, \mathbf{x}_0)$  and that in  $\mathcal{C}$  by  $\mathcal{C}_\lambda(\mathbb{S}^n, \mathbf{x}_0)$  (and denote by  $\mathcal{L}_\lambda^p$  and  $\mathcal{C}_\lambda$ , respectively, for convenience, if there is no confusion), where  $\lambda = (n-2)/2$ . The  $\mathcal{L}_\lambda^p(\mathbb{S}^n, \mathbf{x}_0)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_\lambda(\mathbb{S}^n, \mathbf{x}_0)$ , and  $\mathcal{M}_\lambda(\mathbb{S}^n, \mathbf{x}_0)$  form Banach spaces, respectively:  $\mathcal{L}_\lambda^p(\mathbb{S}^n, \mathbf{x}_0)$  with norm

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda^p} &:= \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} |\varphi(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y})|^p d\sigma(\mathbf{y}) \right\}^{1/p} \\ &= \left\{ |\mathbb{S}^{n-1}| \int_0^\pi |\varphi(\cos \theta)|^p \sin^{2\lambda} \theta d\theta \right\}^{1/p}; \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

$\mathcal{C}_\lambda(\mathbb{S}^n, \mathbf{x}_0)$  with norm

$$\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda} := \sup_{0 \leq \theta \leq \pi} |\varphi(\cos \theta)|;$$

$\mathcal{M}_\lambda(\mathbb{S}^n, \mathbf{x}_0)$  with norm

$$\|\mu\|_{\mathcal{M}_\lambda} := |\mathbb{S}^{n-1}| \int_0^\pi |d\mu^*(\theta)|, \quad (2.2)$$

where  $\mu^*$  is the corresponding function in  $\mathcal{M}[0, \pi]$  of the measure  $\mu \in \mathbb{S}^n$  (actually, there is a bijection between  $\mathcal{M}_\lambda(\mathbb{S}^n, \mathbf{x}_0)$  and some subset of  $\mathcal{M}[0, \pi]$ , see [3,10]).

For  $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{S}^n)$  and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_\lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^n)$ , the convolution of  $f$  with the zonal function  $\varphi$  is defined by

$$(f * \varphi)(\mathbf{x}) := \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} f(\mathbf{y}) \varphi(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}) d\sigma(\mathbf{y}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{S}^n. \quad (2.3)$$

The convolution of  $\psi \in \mathcal{L}_\lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^n)$  and  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{S}^n)$  is defined by

$$(\psi * d\mu)(\mathbf{x}) := \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \psi(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}) d\mu(\mathbf{y}). \quad (2.4)$$

The convolution of  $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{S}^n)$  and the zonal measure  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda(\mathbb{S}^n)$  with  $\mathbf{x}_0$  is defined by

$$(f * d\mu)(\mathbf{x}) := \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} f(\mathbf{y}) d\varphi_{\mathbf{x}} \mu(\mathbf{y}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{S}^n, \quad (2.5)$$



where letting  $\rho$  be the rotation such that  $\rho\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_0$ , for any measurable subsets  $E \subset \mathbb{S}^n$ ,

$$\varphi_{\mathbf{x}}\mu(E) := \mu(\rho E),$$

refer to [3, Chap. 1], [16, Chap. 1], and [10] for details.

**Remark 1** The definition of the convolution on the sphere may be found in [3]. In this paper, we follow the definition of convolution in [16]. The convolution we used here differs only a constant from that in [3]. In both definitions, the convolutions admit the following Young's inequalities. For  $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{S}^n)$ ,  $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_\lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^n)$ , and  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda(\mathbb{S}^n)$ , we have

$$\|f * \varphi\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda^1} \|f\|_{\mathcal{X}}, \quad (2.6a)$$

$$\|\varphi * d\mu\|_1 \leq \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda^1} \|\mu\|_{\mathcal{M}}. \quad (2.6b)$$

When an operator  $T$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  is a convolution in the form of (2.3), (2.4), or (2.5), we call  $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{L}_\lambda^1$  or  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda$  the *kernel* of  $T$ .

**Projection** For  $\nu > -1/2$ , let  $C_k^{(\nu)}(t)$ ,  $-1 \leq t \leq 1$ ,  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , be the Gegenbauer polynomial of degree  $k$  with  $\nu$ . The polynomials  $C_k^{(\nu)}(t)$ ,  $k \geq 0$ , form a complete orthogonal basis with respect to the weight  $(1 - t^2)^{\nu - 1/2}$ . That is, for  $\nu > -1/2$ ,  $\nu \neq 0$ , see [15, p. 81],

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-1}^1 C_k^{(\nu)}(t) C_j^{(\nu)}(t) (1 - t^2)^{\nu - 1/2} dt &= \int_0^\pi C_k^{(\nu)}(\cos \theta) C_j^{(\nu)}(\cos \theta) \sin^{2\nu} \theta d\theta \\ &= \begin{cases} (m(k, \nu))^{-1}, & k = j, \\ 0, & k \neq j, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

where

$$m(k, \nu) := \frac{2^{2\nu - 1} (\Gamma(\nu))^2 (k + \nu) \Gamma(k + 1)}{\pi \Gamma(k + 2\nu)}. \quad (2.8)$$

For  $\lambda = (n - 2)/2 > 0$ , by e.g. [15, p. 171], we have

$$|C_k^{(\lambda)}(t)| = \mathcal{O}_\lambda(k^{2\lambda - 1}). \quad (2.9)$$

Let

$$m_k^{(\lambda)} := \frac{\Gamma(\lambda)(k + \lambda)}{2\pi^{\lambda + 1}}.$$

Then the projection of  $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{S}^n)$  onto  $H_k^n$  is defined by, see [3, Chap.1] and [16, Chap.1],

$$Y_k(f; \mathbf{x}) := m_k^{(\lambda)} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} C_k^{(\lambda)}(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}) f(\mathbf{y}) d\sigma_n(\mathbf{y}) = (f * m_k^{(\lambda)} C_k^{(\lambda)})(\mathbf{x}),$$

and for  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{S}^n)$ ,

$$Y_k(d\mu; \mathbf{x}) := m_k^{(\lambda)} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} C_k^{(\lambda)}(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}) d\mu(\mathbf{y}) = (m_k^{(\lambda)} C_k^{(\lambda)} * d\mu)(\mathbf{x}).$$

**Cesàro mean** For real  $\alpha > 0$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , the Cesàro mean  $\tau_k^\alpha(f)$  of  $f \in \mathcal{X}$ , see, e.g., [16, P. 49], is defined by

$$\tau_k^\alpha(f) := \frac{1}{A_k^\alpha} \sum_{j=0}^k A_{k-j}^\alpha Y_j f,$$

where

$$A_k^\alpha := \binom{k+\alpha}{\alpha} = \frac{\Gamma(k+\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(k+1)}.$$

For  $\alpha > \lambda = (n-2)/2$ , we have, see, e.g., [16, Theorem 2.3.10],

$$\|\tau_k^\alpha(f)\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq c \|f\|_{\mathcal{X}}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \forall f \in \mathcal{X}, \quad (2.10)$$

where the constant  $c$  depends only on  $n$ ,  $\alpha$ , and  $\mathcal{X}$ .

**Multiplier sequences and multiplier operators** [3] Let two function spaces  $\mathcal{Y}$  and  $\mathcal{Z}$  be either  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^n)$ ,  $\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{S}^n)$ ,  $1 \leq p < +\infty$ , or  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{S}^n)$ . A sequence  $\{a_k \in \mathbb{C} : k = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  is said to be a multiplier sequence from  $\mathcal{Y}$  to  $\mathcal{Z}$  if for each  $f \in \mathcal{Y}$ , there exists  $g \in \mathcal{Z}$  such that

$$Y_k g = \frac{\lambda}{k+\lambda} a_k Y_k f, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Denote by  $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z})$  the collection of all multiplier sequences from  $\mathcal{Y}$  to  $\mathcal{Z}$ . When  $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{Z}$ , we call  $\{a_k\}_{k=0}^{+\infty}$  a multiplier sequence on  $\mathcal{Y}$ .

**Remark 2** By [12, pp. 222–231], for  $p \in (1, +\infty)$ , we have

$$(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}) = (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}) = (\mathcal{L}^1, \mathcal{L}^1) \subset (\mathcal{L}^p, \mathcal{L}^p) \subset (\mathcal{L}^2, \mathcal{L}^2).$$

For an operator  $T$  on  $\mathcal{X}$ , let

$$\mathcal{D}_1(T) := \{f \in \mathcal{X} : T(f) \in \mathcal{X}\}$$

be the domain of  $T$ . We may omit the parenthesis ‘( )’ of  $T(f)$  if it does not cause confusion. An operator  $T$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  is said to be a multiplier operator on  $\mathcal{X}$  with the sequence  $\{a_k\}_{k=0}^{+\infty}$  if for each  $f \in \mathcal{D}_1(T)$ ,

$$Y_k(Tf) = a_k Y_k(f), \quad k \geq 0.$$

If  $T$  is a multiplier operator on  $\mathcal{X}$  with the sequence  $a_k$ , we say that  $T$  has an expansion  $\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} a_k Y_k f$  and denote  $T$  by

$$Tf \sim \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} a_k Y_k f.$$

For real  $\alpha > 0$ , the  $\alpha$ th power  $T^\alpha$  of  $T$  is an operator on  $\mathcal{X}$  such that

$$T^\alpha f \sim \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} (a_k)^\alpha Y_k(f), \quad f \in \mathcal{D}_1(T^\alpha).$$

**$K$ -functional by multiplier operator** Let  $f \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $t > 0$ . By (1.4), the  $K$ -functional induced by the multiplier operator  $\mathcal{A}$  with the multiplier sequence  $\{a_k\}_{k=0}^{+\infty}$  is given by

$$K_{\mathcal{A}}(f, t)_{\mathcal{X}} := \inf_{g \in \mathcal{D}_1(\mathcal{A})} \{ \|f - g\|_{\mathcal{X}} + t \|\mathcal{A}g\|_{\mathcal{X}} \},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_1(\mathcal{A}) &= \{ f \in \mathcal{X} : \text{there exists } g \in \mathcal{X} \text{ such that} \\ &\quad a_k Y_k f = Y_k g, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \} \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

is the domain of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Since the multiplier operator is determined by its multiplier sequence, we also say that  $K_{\mathcal{A}}(f, t)_{\mathcal{X}}$  is induced by the multiplier sequence  $a_k$ .

In particular, for  $\alpha > 0$ , the  $K$ -functional induced by the  $(\alpha/2)$ th Laplace-Beltrami operator  $(\Delta^*)^{\alpha/2}$  with the multiplier sequence  $\{(-k(k+2\lambda))^{\alpha/2}\}_{k=0}^{+\infty}$  is equivalent to the modulus of smoothness:

$$K_{(\Delta^*)^{\alpha/2}}(f, t^{\alpha})_{\mathcal{X}} \asymp \omega^{\alpha}(f, t)_{\mathcal{X}}. \quad (2.12)$$

This was finally proved by Riemenschneider and Wang [14].

We may also define the  $K$ -functional induced by infinitesimal generator as follows. For a semigroup  $T_t$  of class  $(\mathcal{C}_0)$  and integer  $r \geq 1$ , recall that  $\mathcal{A}^r$  is the  $r$ th power of its infinitesimal generator  $\mathcal{A}$ , see (1.9b).

**$K$ -functional by infinitesimal generator** [6, Section 3.4] For  $f \in \mathcal{X}$ , the  $K$ -functional induced by the  $r$ th power  $\mathcal{A}^r$  is defined by

$$K_{\mathcal{A}^r}^*(f, t)_{\mathcal{X}} := \inf_{g \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}^r)} \{ \|f - g\|_{\mathcal{X}} + t \|\mathcal{A}^r g\|_{\mathcal{X}} \}, \quad (2.13)$$

where  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}^r)$  is defined by (1.9a).

**Remark 3** For integer  $r \geq 2$ , when the infinitesimal generator  $\mathcal{A}$  of a semigroup is a multiplier operator, so will  $\mathcal{A}^r$ . As a multiplier operator, the  $\mathcal{A}^r$  for positive integer  $r$  induces the  $K$ -functional  $K_{\mathcal{A}^r}(f, t)_{\mathcal{X}}$ . We note that  $K_{\mathcal{A}^r}^*(f, t)_{\mathcal{X}}$  usually does not equal  $K_{\mathcal{A}^r}(f, t)_{\mathcal{X}}$ . If the operators  $T_t$  that form a semigroup of class  $(\mathcal{C}_0)$  admit the expansions of  $\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} e^{a(k)t} Y_k f$  for  $f \in \mathcal{X}$ , we have  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}^r) \subset \mathcal{D}_1(\mathcal{A}^r)$ , see Lemma 2 below. In this case,

$$K_{\mathcal{A}^r}(f, t)_{\mathcal{X}} \leq K_{\mathcal{A}^r}^*(f, t)_{\mathcal{X}},$$

see Remark 8 below.

### 3 Function classes and $K$ -functionals

We study in this section the classes of functions induced by the multiplier sequences and the  $K$ -functionals induced by the multiplier operators. We

prove the equivalence between two classes of functions and that between two  $K$ -functionals.

The following lemma plays an important role in the proof of the equivalence between the  $K$ -functionals. For function  $\psi(x)$  from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ , let

$$\mathcal{H}_1(\psi; \mathcal{X}) := \{f \in \mathcal{X} : \text{there exists } g \in \mathcal{X} \text{ such that} \\ \psi(k)Y_k f = Y_k g \text{ for } k \geq 0\}$$

be a set of functions on  $\mathcal{X}$ , determined by the sequence  $\psi(k)$ . We note that

$$\mathcal{H}(\psi; \mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{S}^n)) = \mathcal{H}_1(\psi; \mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{S}^n)), \quad 1 < p < +\infty,$$

see (1.14). For integer  $k \geq 0$ , let  $\mathcal{C}^k[0, +\infty)$  be the set of all  $k$  times continuously differentiable real functions on  $[0, +\infty)$ . We have the following result.

**Lemma 1** *Let  $\psi_0$  and  $\varphi_0$  be two complex-valued function on  $[0, +\infty)$ , and let  $\psi$  and  $\varphi$  be two real functions such that*

$$\psi(x) = e^{iv_1\pi}\psi_0(x), \quad \varphi(x) = e^{iv_2\pi}\varphi_0(x)$$

for some reals  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ , and

$$0 < \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\psi(x)}{\varphi(x)} = c_0 < +\infty, \quad \psi(0) = \varphi(0) = 0.$$

Let

$$g(t) := \begin{cases} \frac{\psi(t^{-1})}{\varphi(t^{-1})}, & 0 < t < +\infty, \\ c_0, & t = 0, \end{cases}$$

and let

$$g^{(i)}(0) := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g^{(i-1)}(t) - g^{(i-1)}(0)}{t}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 2\lambda + 2.$$

If functions  $g$  and  $1/g$  are both in  $\mathcal{C}^{2\lambda+2}[0, +\infty)$ , then for real  $s$ ,

$$\mathcal{H}_1((\psi_0)^s; \mathcal{X}) = \mathcal{H}_1((\varphi_0)^s; \mathcal{X}).$$

We leave the proof of Lemma 1 to Section 6.

**Remark 4** For function  $a(x)$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ , let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a multiplier operator with a multiplier sequence  $a(k)$ . Then the domain  $\mathcal{D}_1(\mathcal{A}^\alpha)$ , see (2.11), of  $\mathcal{A}^\alpha$  is  $\mathcal{H}_1(a^\alpha; \mathcal{X})$ .

**Remark 5** Let  $\varphi_0$  and  $\psi_0$  be given by Lemma 1. We may analogously prove

$$\mathcal{H}((\varphi_0)^s; \mathcal{X}) = \mathcal{H}((\psi_0)^s; \mathcal{X})$$

by

$$(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}) = (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}) \subset (\mathcal{L}^p, \mathcal{L}^p), \quad 1 \leq p \leq +\infty,$$

see Remark 2.

For two polynomials  $a(x)$  and  $b(x)$  that satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 1, we may use Lemma 1 and the method of [7] to prove the equivalence between the  $K$ -functionals induced by the multiplier sequences  $a(k)$  and  $b(k)$  as follows. We leave the proof of the lemma to Section 6.

**Lemma 2** *Let  $a(x)$  and  $b(x)$  be two polynomials with the same degree, and let real  $\alpha > 0$ . Let the operators  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  be with multiplier sequences  $\{a(k)\}_{k=0}^{+\infty}$  and  $\{b(k)\}_{k=0}^{+\infty}$ , respectively. If  $a(x)$  and  $b(x)$  satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 1 and neither  $a(x)$  nor  $b(x)$  have positive zero points, then*

$$\mathcal{D}_1(\mathcal{A}^\alpha) = \mathcal{D}_1(\mathcal{B}^\alpha)$$

and

$$K_{\mathcal{A}^\alpha}(f, t)_{\mathcal{X}} \asymp K_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha}(f, t)_{\mathcal{X}}, \quad t > 0, f \in \mathcal{D}_1(\mathcal{A}^\alpha),$$

where the constants in the inequalities depend only on  $a(\cdot)$ ,  $b(\cdot)$ ,  $\alpha$ , and  $\mathcal{X}$ .

#### 4 Approximation for semigroups of class $(\mathcal{C}_0)$ on spheres

Recall that  $T_{q,t}^\gamma$  is the exponential type multiplier operator with a polynomial  $q$  and  $0 < \gamma \leq 1$ , given in the introduction. In this section, we prove that if  $T_{q,t}^\gamma$  are regular and positive, then  $T_{q,t}^\gamma$  form a contraction semigroup of class  $(\mathcal{C}_0)$ . Moreover, the semigroup  $T_{q,t}^\gamma$  admits the Bernstein type inequality, see (1.10). From this inequality, using the method of [9], we show that the approximation error of its  $r$ th Boolean  $\oplus^r T_{q,t}^\gamma$  is equivalent to the  $K$ -functional induced by the multiplier sequence  $(q(k))^{r\gamma}$ .

**Bochner integral** Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a measure space, and let  $B$  be a Banach space with norm  $\|\cdot\|_B$ . For a measurable vector-valued function  $f: X \rightarrow B$ , one may define the Bochner integral of  $f$  as follows:

$$\int_X f(t) d\mu(t). \quad (4.1)$$

Let  $E$  be  $\mu$ -measurable. A function  $f: E \rightarrow B$  is Bochner integrable on  $E \subset X$  if and only if  $\|f(t)\|$  is Lebesgue measurable with respect to  $t$  and

$$\int_E \|f\| d\mu(t) < +\infty.$$

Moreover, for a Bochner integrable function  $f$  and a  $\mu$ -measurable set  $E \subset X$ , we have

$$\left\| \int_E f(t) d\mu(t) \right\|_B \leq \int_E \|f(t)\|_B d\mu(t). \quad (4.2)$$

Let  $T$  be a closed linear operator on  $B$ , and let  $f: X \rightarrow B$  is Bochner integrable. If  $Tf$  is also Bochner integrable, then  $T$  commutes with the Bochner integral, i.e., for every  $\mu$ -measurable set  $E \subset X$ ,

$$T\left(\int_E f(t)d\mu(t)\right) = \int_E T(f(t))d\mu(t). \quad (4.3)$$

**Remark 6** Let  $I$  be an interval of the real line. The set  $I$  with the usual Lebesgue measure forms a measure space. A vector-valued function  $h: I \rightarrow B$  is said to be strongly continuous if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for  $|t_1 - t_2| < \delta$ ,  $t_1, t_2 \in I$ , we have

$$\|h(t_1) - h(t_2)\|_B < \varepsilon.$$

Since the continuity of  $\|h(t)\|$  implies that  $\|h(t)\|$  is Lebesgue measurable, we know that any strongly continuous vector-valued function  $h: I \rightarrow B$  is Bochner integrable.

We need the following lemma that shows an integral representation of the semigroup of the exponential type multiplier operators. Let  $\{T_t: 0 \leq t < +\infty\}$  be a semigroup of class  $(\mathcal{C}_0)$ . For each  $t \geq 0$ ,  $T_t$  is a multiplier operator with a sequence of multipliers in the form of  $e^{a_k t}$  for some real sequence  $\{a_k\}_{k=0}^{+\infty}$ .

**Lemma 3** Let  $\{T_t: 0 \leq t < +\infty\}$  be a semigroup of class  $(\mathcal{C}_0)$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  and also a semigroup of the exponential type multiplier operators with multipliers  $a_t(k) = e^{a_k t}$ . Then for  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , we have

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}^r) \subset \mathcal{D}_1(\mathcal{A}^r) \quad (4.4)$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}^r f \sim \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} (a_k)^r Y_k f,$$

where  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}^r)$  and  $\mathcal{D}_1(\mathcal{A}^r)$  are given by (1.9) and (2.11), respectively. In particular, for  $r = 1$ ,  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{D}_1(\mathcal{A})$ . Moreover, for  $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}^r)$  and  $g \in \mathcal{X}$  such that

$$(a_k)^r Y_k f = Y_k g, \quad k \geq 0,$$

we have

$$(T_t - I)^r f = \int_0^t \cdots \int_0^t T_{u_1 + \cdots + u_r} g du_1 \cdots du_r, \quad \text{a.e.} \quad (4.5)$$

We leave the proof of Lemma 3 to Section 6.

**Remark 7** In Lemma 3, the sequence  $a_k$  does not have to be a polynomial. Neither needs the operator positive.

The right-hand side of (4.5) is the Bochner integral of a vector-valued function  $h: [0, +\infty)^r \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  over the subset  $[0, t]^r$ .

**Remark 8** With the same assumptions of Lemma 3, by (4.4), we have

$$K_{\mathcal{A}^r}(f, t)_{\mathcal{X}} \leq K_{\mathcal{A}^r}^*(f, t)_{\mathcal{X}}, \quad f \in \mathcal{X}, \quad t > 0. \quad (4.6)$$

We turn to study the exponential type multiplier operator  $T_{q,t}^\gamma$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  which for  $t > 0$  has the expansion of (1.6). Recall that  $T_{q,t}^\gamma$  is *regular* if the coefficient of the first term of  $q(x)$  and the degree of  $q(x)$  are both positive and  $q(0) = 0$ .

**Remark 9** The multiplier operator  $T_{q,t}^\gamma$  has a kernel  $\varphi_{q,t}^\gamma$ . For  $f \in \mathcal{X}$ ,

$$T_{q,t}^\gamma f = f * \varphi_{q,t}^\gamma, \quad (4.7)$$

where  $\varphi_{q,t}^\gamma$  is given by

$$\varphi_{q,t}^\gamma(\cos \theta) = \frac{1}{|\mathbb{S}^n|} \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} e^{-(q(k))^\gamma t} \frac{k + \lambda}{\lambda} C_k^{(\lambda)}(\cos \theta).$$

Then, for  $0 < \gamma \leq 1$  and  $t \geq 0$ ,  $\varphi_{q,t}^\gamma(\cos \theta) \in \mathcal{L}_\lambda^1$ . For  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , let  $(\mathcal{A}_q^\gamma)^r$  be an operator such that

$$(\mathcal{A}_q^\gamma)^r f \sim \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} (-(q(k))^\gamma)^r Y_k f, \quad (\mathcal{A}_q^\gamma)^r f \in \mathcal{X}. \quad (4.8)$$

The following theorem shows that the regular and positive operators  $T_{q,t}^\gamma$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , form a semigroup of class  $(\mathcal{C}_0)$ . The multiplier operator  $\mathcal{A}_q^\gamma$  is actually the infinitesimal generator of the semigroup and it admits the following Bernstein type inequality.

**Theorem 1** *Let  $\{T_{q,t}^\gamma : 0 \leq t < +\infty\}$  defined by (1.6) be a set of regular and positive exponential type multiplier operators on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Then  $\{T_{q,t}^\gamma : 0 \leq t < +\infty\}$  forms a strongly continuous semigroup of contraction operators of class  $(\mathcal{C}_0)$ , and for  $t > 0$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{X}$ ,  $T_{q,t}^\gamma f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}_q^\gamma)$ . Moreover,*

$$\|\mathcal{A}_q^\gamma T_{q,t}^\gamma f\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq \frac{c}{t} \|f\|_{\mathcal{X}}, \quad (4.9)$$

where  $c$  is a constant depending only upon  $n$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $q(\cdot)$ , and  $\mathcal{X}$ .

*Proof* For  $t_1, t_2 > 0$  and  $f \in \mathcal{X}$ , we have

$$(T_{q,t_1}^\gamma T_{q,t_2}^\gamma) f = T_{q,t_1+t_2}^\gamma f, \quad (4.10)$$

and by (2.1), the positivity of  $\varphi_{q,t}^\gamma(\cos \theta)$ , and (2.7),

$$\|\varphi_{q,t}^\gamma(\cos(\cdot))\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda^1} = |\mathbb{S}^{n-1}| \int_0^\pi \varphi_{q,t}^\gamma(\cos \theta) \sin^{2\lambda} \theta d\theta = 1.$$

By Young's inequality (2.6a) and (4.7), we have

$$\|T_{q,t}^\gamma f\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq \|\varphi_{q,t}^\gamma(\cos(\cdot))\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda^1} \|f\|_{\mathcal{X}} = \|f\|_{\mathcal{X}}, \quad (4.11)$$

and also, for  $f \in \mathcal{X}$ ,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \|T_{q,t}^\gamma f - f\|_{\mathcal{X}} = 0, \quad (4.12)$$

which is by (4.11), the contraction of  $T_{q,t}^\gamma$ , and the Banach-Steinhaus theorem, as well as the fact that the collection of all spherical polynomials is dense in  $\mathcal{X}$ . By Lemma 3 and Remark 9, for any  $f \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $t > 0$ , we may verify that

$$T_{q,t}^\gamma f \in \mathcal{D}_1(\mathcal{A}_q^\gamma) = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}_q^\gamma),$$

and since the projection  $Y_k$  commutes with  $T_{q,t}^\gamma$ , we have

$$\mathcal{A}_q^\gamma T_{q,t}^\gamma f = - \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} (q(k))^\gamma Y_k(T_{q,t}^\gamma f) = - \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} (q(k))^\gamma e^{-(q(k))^\gamma t} Y_k f, \quad \text{a.e.} \quad (4.13)$$

Then, by (1.7), (4.10)–(4.12), we have  $T_{q,t}^\gamma$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , form a strongly continuous contraction semigroup of class  $(\mathcal{C}_0)$ .

Now, we are going to prove (4.9). Let  $d$  be the degree of  $q(x)$ . There exist constants  $c$  and  $c'$  such that

$$cx^\beta \leq (q(x))^\gamma \leq c'x^\beta, \quad 0 < x < +\infty, \quad \beta = \gamma d. \quad (4.14)$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{A}_q^\gamma T_{q,t}^\gamma f\|_{\mathcal{X}} &= \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \delta^{l+1} ((q(k))^\gamma e^{-(q(k))^\gamma t}) A_k^l \sigma_k^l(f) \right\|_{\mathcal{X}} \\ &\leq c \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} |\delta^{l+1} ((q(k))^\gamma e^{-(q(k))^\gamma t}) k^l| \|f\|_{\mathcal{X}}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

where the first equality uses  $q(0) = 0$  and summation by parts  $(l+1)$  times, and  $l$  is a positive integer larger than  $\lambda = (n-2)/2$ .

We need to estimate

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \delta^{l+1} ((q(k))^\gamma e^{-(q(k))^\gamma t}) k^l \right|.$$

By induction,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left( \frac{d}{dx} \right)^l ((q(x))^\gamma e^{-(q(x))^\gamma t}) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^l e^{-(q(x))^\gamma t} \sum_{v=1}^{N'_{l-i}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_i} t^{s_{iv}} (q(x))^{(s_{iv}+1)\gamma - (m_{iv}+r_{ij})} Q_{ivj}^{d(m_{iv}+r_{ij}) - (n_{iv}+i)}(x), \end{aligned}$$



where

$$0 \leq r_{ij} \leq i, \quad 0 \leq s_{iv}, m_{iv} \leq l - i, \quad n_{iv} \geq l - i,$$

$N_i, N'_i$  are all positive integers,  $Q_{ivj}^d$ ,  $d = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , are polynomials with degree  $d$ , and

$$d(m_{iv} + r_{ij}) - (n_{iv} + i) \geq 0.$$

Thus, by (4.14),

$$n_{iv} \geq l - i, \quad s_{iv} \leq l - i,$$

and for  $x \geq 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left( \frac{d}{dx} \right)^l ((q(x))^\gamma e^{-(q(x))^\gamma t}) \right| &\leq \sum_{i=0}^l e^{-(q(x))^\gamma t} \sum_{v=1}^{N'_{l-i}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_i} \ell_{ivj} t^{s_{iv}} x^{(s_{iv}+1)\beta - (n_{iv}+i)} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^l e^{-cx^\beta t} \sum_{v=1}^{N'_{l-i}} \ell_{iv} t^{s_{iv}} x^{(s_{iv}+1)\beta - l} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^l \ell_i t^i x^{(i+1)\beta - l} e^{-cx^\beta t}, \end{aligned}$$

where we rewrite the sum in the last equality. By the relation between the finite difference of the sequence  $q(k)$  and the derivatives of function  $q(x)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|\delta^{l+1}((q(k))^\gamma e^{-(q(k))^\gamma t})| \\ &= \left| \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 \left( \frac{d}{dx} \right)^{l+1} ((q(x))^\gamma e^{-(q(x))^\gamma t}) \Big|_{x=k+u_1+\dots+u_{l+1}} du_1 \cdots du_{l+1} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{l+1} \ell'_i t^i k^{(i+1)\beta - (l+1)} e^{-ck^\beta t}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\ell'_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, l+1$ , are positive constants depending only upon  $q(x)$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $i$ , and  $l$ . Hence,

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \delta^{l+1}((q(k))^\gamma e^{-(q(k))^\gamma t}) k^l \right| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{l+1} \ell'_i t^i \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k^{(i+1)\beta - 1} e^{-ck^\beta t}. \quad (4.16)$$

Now, we consider the derivative of function  $g(x) = x^{(i+1)\beta - 1} e^{-cx^\beta t}$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$ :

$$\frac{d}{dx} g(x) = (((i+1)\beta - 1) + (-c\beta t)x^\beta) x^{(i+1)\beta - 2} e^{-cx^\beta t}.$$

Then there exists integer  $k_i \geq 0$  (may depend on  $t$ ) such that  $g(x)$  is decreasing in  $[1, k_i]$  and increasing in  $(k_i, +\infty)$ . By (4.16), summing up over  $1 \leq k \leq k_i$

and  $k > k_i$  respectively, and using the above monotonic properties of  $g(x)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \delta^{l+1} (k^\beta e^{-ck^\beta t}) k^l \right| \\
& \leq \sum_{i=0}^{l+1} \ell'_i t^i \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k^{(i+1)\beta-1} e^{-ck^\beta t} \\
& \leq \sum_{i=0}^{l+1} \ell'_i t^i \left( \sum_{k=1}^{k_i} \int_k^{k+1} e^{-cx^\beta t} x^{(i+1)\beta-1} dx + \sum_{k=k_i+1}^{+\infty} \int_{k-1}^k e^{-cx^\beta t} x^{(i+1)\beta-1} dx \right) \\
& \leq \sum_{i=0}^{l+1} (2\ell'_i t^i) \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-cx^\beta t} x^{(i+1)\beta-1} dx \\
& = \sum_{i=0}^{l+1} (2\ell'_i t^i) (i! c^{-(i+1)} t^{-(i+1)} \beta^{-1}) \\
& = \frac{c_1}{t},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$c_1 = \left( \sum_{i=0}^{l+1} 2\ell'_i c^{-(i+1)} i! \right) \beta^{-1}.$$

Using (4.15), we have

$$\|\mathcal{A}_q^\gamma T_{q,t}^\gamma f\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq \frac{c_2}{t} \|f\|_{\mathcal{X}},$$

where the constant  $c_2$  depends only on  $q, \gamma, n$ , and  $\mathcal{X}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

With the Bernstein inequality (4.9), we may prove the equivalence between approximation error of the semigroup  $T_{q,t}^\gamma$  and the  $K$ -functional induced by its infinitesimal generator  $\mathcal{A}_q^\gamma$ , as follows.

**Theorem 2** *Let  $\{T_{q,t}^\gamma: 0 \leq t < +\infty\}$  defined by (1.6) be a set of regular and positive exponential type multiplier operators on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Then, for  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,*

$$\|\oplus^r T_{q,t}^\gamma f - f\|_{\mathcal{X}} \asymp K_{(\mathcal{A}_q^\gamma)^r}(f, t^r)_{\mathcal{X}}, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{X}, \quad (4.17)$$

where  $\mathcal{A}_q^\gamma$  is given by (4.8), where the constants depend only on  $n, r, q, \gamma$ , and  $\mathcal{X}$ .

Moreover,  $\{\oplus^r T_{q,t}^\gamma: 0 \leq t < +\infty\}$  are saturated with order  $\mathcal{O}(t^r)$  and their saturation class is  $\mathcal{H}(q^{r\gamma}; \mathcal{X})$ .

We need to make some preparations before the proof of Theorem 2. We use the following result of Ditzian and Ivanov to prove (4.17).

**Lemma 4** [9, Theorem 5.1] *Let  $\{T_t: 0 \leq t < +\infty\}$  be a contraction semigroup of class  $(\mathcal{C}_0)$  on  $\mathcal{X}$ . The operator  $\mathcal{A}$  is the infinitesimal generator of  $T_t$ . If there exists some constant  $c$  independent of  $t$  and  $f$  such that*

$$t\|\mathcal{A}T_t f\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq c\|f\|_{\mathcal{X}},$$

then, for any  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,

$$\|\oplus^r T_t f - f\|_{\mathcal{X}} \asymp K_{\mathcal{A}^r}^*(f, t^r)_{\mathcal{X}},$$

where the  $K_{\mathcal{A}^r}^*(f, \cdot)_{\mathcal{X}}$  is given by (2.13) and the constants in the inequalities are independent of  $t$  and  $f$ .

**Gegenbauer coefficients** Let  $\varphi(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y})$  be a zonal function on  $\mathbb{S}^n$ . The Gegenbauer coefficients  $\widehat{\varphi}(k)$ ,  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , are defined by

$$\widehat{\varphi}(k) := |\mathbb{S}^n| m(k, \lambda) \int_0^\pi \varphi(\cos \theta) C_k^{(\lambda)}(\cos \theta) \sin^{n-1} \theta d\theta.$$

Since  $\varphi(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y})$  coincides with the kernel  $\varphi(\cos \theta)$ , we also say that  $\widehat{\varphi}(k)$  are the Gegenbauer coefficients of the kernel  $\varphi(\cos \theta)$ .

The saturation property of the multiplier operator is determined by the Gegenbauer coefficients of the kernel of the operator.

**Lemma 5** [3, Theorem 3.1] *Let  $\{T_t: t \geq 0\}$  be a set of multiplier operators on  $\mathcal{X}$  with kernels  $\phi_t(\cos \theta)$ ,  $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$ . If*

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \phi_t(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}) d\sigma(\mathbf{y}) = 1,$$

$$\|T_t f\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq c\|f\|_{\mathcal{X}},$$

and there exist a function  $\psi(t)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , converging to zero as  $t \rightarrow 0$  and a sequence  $q(k)$  such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\frac{\lambda}{n+\lambda} \widehat{\phi}_t(k) - 1}{\psi(t)} = q(k), \quad k \geq 0.$$

Then we have  $f \in \mathcal{H}(q; \mathcal{X})$  if  $\|T_t f - f\|_{\mathcal{X}} = \mathcal{O}(\psi(t))$  and  $f$  is a constant if  $\|T_t f - f\|_{\mathcal{X}} = o(\psi(t))$ .

*Proof of Theorem 2* By definition, we have

$$\|\oplus^r T_{q,t}^\gamma f - f\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq c_{n,r,q,\gamma,\mathcal{X}} K_{\mathcal{A}^\gamma}^*(f, t^r)_{\mathcal{X}}.$$

On the other hand, by (4.9), Lemma 4, and (4.6), we have

$$\|\oplus^r T_{q,t}^\gamma f - f\|_{\mathcal{X}} \geq c_{n,r,q,\gamma,\mathcal{X}} K_{\mathcal{A}^\gamma}^*(f, t^r)_{\mathcal{X}} \geq c_{n,r,q,\gamma,\mathcal{X}} K_{\mathcal{A}^\gamma}^*(f, t^r)_{\mathcal{X}}.$$

This proves (4.17).

For the saturation property of  $\{\oplus^r T_{q,t}^\gamma : 0 \leq t < +\infty\}$ , we let  $\mathcal{A}_q^\gamma$  be the infinitesimal generator of  $T_{q,t}^\gamma$ , and let  $\{\widehat{\varphi}_{r,q,t}^\gamma(k)\}_{k=0}^{+\infty}$  be the Gegenbauer coefficients of the kernel  $\varphi_{r,q,t}^\gamma(\cos \theta)$  of  $\oplus^r T_{q,t}^\gamma$ . Then

$$\varphi_{r,q,t}^\gamma(\cos \theta) = \frac{1}{|\mathbb{S}^n|} \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} (1 - (1 - e^{-(q(k))^\gamma t})^r) \frac{k + \lambda}{\lambda} C_k^{(\lambda)}(\cos \theta)$$

is in  $\mathcal{C}_\lambda(\mathbb{S}^n)$ , and

$$\widehat{\varphi}_{r,q,t}^\gamma(k) = \frac{k + \lambda}{\lambda} (1 - (1 - e^{-(q(k))^\gamma t})^r), \quad k \geq 0.$$

Thus, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\frac{\lambda}{k + \lambda} \widehat{\varphi}_{r,q,t}^\gamma(k) - 1}{t^r} = -(q(k))^{r\gamma}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (4.18)$$

In addition,

$$|\mathbb{S}^{n-1}| \int_0^\pi \varphi_{r,q,t}^\gamma(\cos \theta) \sin^{2\lambda} \theta d\theta = 1 \quad (4.19)$$

and

$$\|\oplus^r T_{q,t}^\gamma f\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq 2^r \|f\|_{\mathcal{X}}. \quad (4.20)$$

Using Lemma 5 and by (4.18)–(4.20), we have  $f \in \mathcal{H}(q^{r\gamma}; \mathcal{X})$  if

$$\|\oplus^r T_{q,t}^\gamma f - f\|_{\mathcal{X}} = \mathcal{O}(t^r)$$

and  $f$  is a constant if

$$\|\oplus^r T_{q,t}^\gamma f - f\|_{\mathcal{X}} = o(t^r).$$

On the other hand, suppose  $f \in \mathcal{H}(q^{r\gamma}; \mathcal{X})$ . In the following, we prove

$$\|\oplus^r T_{q,t}^\gamma f - f\|_{\mathcal{X}} = \mathcal{O}(t^r).$$

We prove it only for the case  $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{S}^n)$ . The proofs for  $\mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{S}^n)$  and  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^n)$  are similar. For  $f \in \mathcal{H}(q^{r\gamma}; \mathcal{X})$ , there exists  $g \in \mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{S}^n)$  such that

$$(-(q(k))^\gamma)^r Y_k f = Y_k g, \quad k \geq 0.$$

By Lemma 3 and (4.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\oplus^r T_{q,t}^\gamma f - f\|_p &= \|(T_{q,t}^\gamma - I)^r f\|_p \\ &= \left\| \int_0^t \cdots \int_0^t T_{q,u_1+\dots+u_r}^\gamma g du_1 \cdots du_r \right\|_p \\ &\leq \|g\|_p t^r \\ &= \mathcal{O}(t^r). \end{aligned}$$

In the remaining of the proof, we use the method from [3]. Since  $f \in \mathcal{H}(p^{r\gamma}; \mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{S}^n))$ , there exists  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{S}^n)$  such that

$$(-(q(k))^\gamma)^r Y_k f = Y_k(d\mu), \quad k \geq 0. \quad (4.21)$$

The convolution

$$(\varphi_{q,t}^\gamma * d\mu)(\mathbf{x}) := \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \varphi_{q,t}^\gamma(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}) d\mu(\mathbf{y})$$

is in  $\mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{S}^n)$ . By Young's inequality (2.6b), we have

$$\|\varphi_{q,t}^\gamma * d\mu\|_1 \leq \|\varphi_{q,t}^\gamma\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda^1} \|\mu\|_{\mathcal{M}} = \|\mu\|_{\mathcal{M}}. \quad (4.22)$$

For given  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{S}^n)$ ,  $h(t) = \varphi_{q,t}^\gamma * d\mu$  defines a vector-valued function from  $(0, +\infty)$  to  $\mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{S}^n)$  and we may verify that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$h(t) = \varphi_{q,t-\varepsilon}^\gamma * (\varphi_{q,\varepsilon}^\gamma * d\mu) = \varphi_{q,t-\varepsilon}^\gamma * h(\varepsilon) = T_{q,t-\varepsilon}^\gamma h(\varepsilon).$$

Then for  $0 < \varepsilon \leq t_2 < t_1 < +\infty$ , by the contraction of  $T_{q,t}^\gamma$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|h(t_1) - h(t_2)\|_1 &= \|T_{q,t_1-\varepsilon}^\gamma h(\varepsilon) - T_{q,t_2-\varepsilon}^\gamma h(\varepsilon)\|_1 \\ &= \|T_{q,t_2-\varepsilon}^\gamma (T_{q,t_1-t_2}^\gamma h(\varepsilon) - h(\varepsilon))\|_1 \\ &\leq \|T_{q,t_1-t_2}^\gamma h(\varepsilon) - h(\varepsilon)\|_1 \\ &\rightarrow 0, \quad t_1 \rightarrow t_2, \end{aligned}$$

where we used (4.12). Therefore,  $h(t)$  is strongly continuous in  $[\varepsilon, +\infty)$  for  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

By (4.22), we have

$$\int_\varepsilon^t \|h(\tau)\|_1 d\tau \leq \int_\varepsilon^t \|\mu\|_{\mathcal{M}} d\tau < \|\mu\|_{\mathcal{M}} t, \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0.$$

It follows that  $h(t)$  is Bochner integrable on  $(0, t]$ , see Remark 6. For  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , by (4.21), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &Y_k \left( \int_0^t \cdots \int_0^t (\varphi_{q,(u_1+\dots+u_r)}^\gamma * d\mu) du_1 \cdots du_r \right) \\ &= \int_0^t \cdots \int_0^t e^{-(q(k))^\gamma(u_1+\dots+u_r)} Y_k(d\mu) du_1 \cdots du_r \\ &= \left( \int_0^t \cdots \int_0^t e^{-(q(k))^\gamma(u_1+\dots+u_r)} (-(q(k))^\gamma)^r du_1 \cdots du_r \right) Y_k f \\ &= (e^{-(q(k))^\gamma} - 1)^r Y_k f \\ &= Y_k(\oplus^r T_{q,t}^\gamma f - f), \end{aligned}$$

and hence,

$$\oplus^r T_{q,t}^\gamma f - f = \int_0^t \cdots \int_0^t (\varphi_{q,(u_1+\dots+u_r)}^\gamma * d\mu) du_1 \cdots du_r,$$

from which it follows that

$$\|\oplus^r T_{q,t}^\gamma f - f\|_1 \leq \|\mu\|_{\mathcal{M}} t^r = \mathcal{O}(t^r).$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

## 5 Approximation by Booleans of generalized spherical Abel-Poisson and Weierstrass operators

We apply the results of Section 4 to two specific operators, the generalized spherical Abel-Poisson operator and the generalized spherical Weierstrass operator.

The generalized spherical Abel-Poisson operator on  $\mathcal{X}$  (is also called the generalized Abel-Poisson sum or singular integral) is an operator on  $\mathcal{X}$  such that (see [5])

$$V_t^\gamma f \sim \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} e^{-k^\gamma t} Y_k f = f * v_t^\gamma, \quad 0 < \gamma \leq 1, f \in \mathcal{X},$$

where  $v_t^\gamma(\cos \theta)$  is the kernel given by

$$v_t^\gamma(\cos \theta) = \frac{1}{|\mathbb{S}^n|} \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} e^{-k^\gamma t} \frac{k + \lambda}{\lambda} C_k^{(\lambda)}(\cos \theta), \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi.$$

For  $\gamma = 1$ , let  $u = e^{-t}$ . Then  $V_t^\gamma$  reduces to the Abel-Poisson sum  $V_t^1$  of (1.3). For  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , the  $r$ th Boolean of  $V_t^\gamma$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  is

$$\oplus^r V_t^\gamma f = f - (I - V_t^\gamma)^r f \sim \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} (1 - (1 - e^{-k^\gamma t})^r) Y_k f, \quad f \in \mathcal{X}.$$

The generalized spherical Weierstrass operator on  $\mathcal{X}$  (is also called the generalized spherical Weierstrass singular integral) is given by (see [4])

$$W_t^\kappa f \sim \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} e^{-(k(k+2\lambda))^\kappa t} Y_k f = f * w_t^\kappa, \quad 0 < \kappa \leq 1, f \in \mathcal{X},$$

where  $w_t^\kappa$  is the kernel:

$$w_t^\kappa(\cos \theta) = \frac{1}{|\mathbb{S}^n|} \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} e^{-(k(k+2\lambda))^\kappa t} \frac{k + \lambda}{\lambda} C_k^{(\lambda)}(\cos \theta), \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi.$$

For  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , the  $r$ th Boolean of  $W_t^\kappa$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  is

$$\oplus^r W_t^\kappa f = f - (I - W_t^\kappa)^r f \sim \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} (1 - (1 - e^{-(k(k+2\lambda))^\kappa t})^r) Y_k f, \quad f \in \mathcal{X}.$$

The kernel of  $V_t^\gamma$  and  $W_t^\kappa$  are both positive, that is, for  $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$ ,  $t > 0$ ,  $0 < \gamma \leq 1$ , and  $0 < \kappa \leq 1$ ,

$$v_t^\gamma(\cos \theta) \geq 0, \quad w_t^\kappa(\cos \theta) \geq 0.$$

These were proved in [4,5,13]. Therefore, by Theorem 1, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 6** *The operators  $V_t^\gamma$  and  $W_t^\kappa$  form strongly continuous contraction semigroups of class  $(\mathcal{C}_0)$  and are positive and regular exponential type multiplier operators with  $q(x) = x$  and  $q(x) = x(x + 2\lambda)$ , respectively, and admit the Bernstein type inequality, see (4.9).*

The approximation errors by these two operators are equivalent to the moduli of smoothness.

**Theorem 3** *For  $0 < \gamma \leq 1$ , let  $\{V_t^\gamma : 0 \leq t < +\infty\}$  be the generalized spherical Abel-Poisson operator. Then, for  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,*

$$\|\oplus^r V_t^\gamma f - f\|_{\mathcal{X}} \asymp \omega^{r\gamma}(f, t^{1/\gamma})_{\mathcal{X}}, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{X},$$

where the constants depend only on  $n$ ,  $r$ ,  $\gamma$ , and  $\mathcal{X}$ .

*Proof* Denote by  $\mathcal{V}^\gamma$  the infinitesimal generator of the semigroup  $\{V_t^\gamma : 0 \leq t < +\infty\}$ . By (4.8), for  $(\mathcal{V}^\gamma)^r f \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , we have

$$(\mathcal{V}^\gamma)^r f \sim \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} (-k^\gamma)^r Y_k f.$$

By Lemma 6 and Theorem 2, we get

$$\|\oplus^r V_t^\gamma f - f\|_{\mathcal{X}} \asymp K_{(\mathcal{V}^\gamma)^r}(f, t^r)_{\mathcal{X}}.$$

In Lemma 2, let

$$a(x) = (-1)^{2/\gamma} x^2, \quad b(x) = -x(x + 2\lambda), \quad \alpha = \frac{r\gamma}{2}.$$

Then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{(-1)^{2/\gamma} a(x)}{(-1)b(x)} = 1, \quad a(0) = b(0) = 0,$$

and

$$g(t) = 1 + 2\lambda t, \quad \frac{1}{g(t)} = (1 + 2\lambda t)^{-1}$$

are both in  $C^{(2\lambda+2)}[0, +\infty)$ . Then

$$K_{(\mathcal{V}^\gamma)^r}(f, t)_{\mathcal{X}} \asymp K_{(\Delta^*)^{r\gamma/2}}(f, t)_{\mathcal{X}}, \quad t > 0.$$

Thus, we have

$$\|\oplus^r V_t^\gamma f - f\|_{\mathcal{X}} \asymp K_{(\gamma\gamma)r}(f, t^r)_{\mathcal{X}} \asymp K_{(\Delta^*)r\gamma/2}(f, t^r)_{\mathcal{X}} \asymp \omega^{r\gamma}(f, t^{1/\gamma})_{\mathcal{X}},$$

where the equivalence uses (2.12) for which we take  $\alpha = r\gamma$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

We have a similar equivalence for the generalized spherical Weierstrass operator as follows.

**Theorem 4** *Let  $\{W_t^\kappa: 0 \leq t < +\infty\}$ ,  $0 < \kappa \leq 1$ , be the generalized spherical Weierstrass operators on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Then, for any  $0 < \kappa \leq 1$  and  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,*

$$\|\oplus^r W_t^\kappa f - f\|_{\mathcal{X}} \asymp \omega^{2r\kappa}(f, t^{1/(2\kappa)})_{\mathcal{X}}, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{X},$$

where the constants depend only on  $n$ ,  $r$ ,  $\kappa$ , and  $\mathcal{X}$ .

In addition, the Booleans of  $V_t^\gamma$  and  $W_t^\kappa$  have the following saturation properties.

**Theorem 5** *For reals  $0 < \gamma, \kappa \leq 1$  and  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , we have*

- (i) *the operators  $\oplus^r V_t^\gamma$  are saturated with  $\mathcal{O}(t^r)$  and their saturation class is  $\mathcal{H}(k^{r\gamma}; \mathcal{X})$ ;*
- (ii) *the operators  $\oplus^r W_t^\kappa$  are saturated with  $\mathcal{O}(t^r)$  and their saturation class is  $\mathcal{H}((k(k+2\lambda))^{r\kappa}; \mathcal{X})$ ;*
- (iii) *the operators  $\oplus^r V_t^\gamma$  and  $\oplus^r W_t^\kappa$  have the same saturation class if  $0 < \gamma = 2\kappa \leq 1$ .*

*Proof* (i) and (ii) follow from Lemma 6 and Theorem 2. (iii) follows from (i), (ii), and Remark 5 by setting

$$\psi_0(x) = (-1)^{1/(r\kappa)} x^2, \quad \varphi_0(x) = (-1)^{1/(r\kappa)} x(x+2\lambda), \quad s = r\kappa. \quad \square$$

## 6 Proofs

The proof of Lemma 1 uses the properties of the Gegenbauer-Stieltjes-coefficients.

**Gegenbauer-Stieltjes-coefficients** For  $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{S}^n)$  and  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{S}^n)$ , recall the convolution  $f * d\mu$ , see (2.5). Let  $\widehat{\mu}(k)$  be a sequence given by

$$\widehat{\mu}(k) := |\mathbb{S}^n| m(k, \lambda) \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} C_k^{(\lambda)}(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}) d\mu(\mathbf{y}) = |\mathbb{S}^n| m(k, \lambda) \int_0^\pi C_k^{(\lambda)}(\cos \theta) d\mu^*(\theta),$$

where  $m(k, \lambda)$  and  $\mu^*$  are given by (2.8). Then we have

$$Y_k(f * d\mu) = \frac{\lambda}{k + \lambda} \widehat{\mu}(k) Y_k(f).$$



We say that the sequence  $\widehat{\mu}(k)$  is the Gegenbauer-Stieltjes-coefficients of measure  $\mu$ , see [3].

**Remark 10** [3, Lemma 5.3.1] shows that a sequence is Gegenbauer-Stieltjes-coefficients of some zonal measure on  $\mathbb{S}^n$  if and only if it belongs to  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$ .

*Proof of Lemma 1* We first prove that for any real  $s$ ,

$$\left\{ C_k^s = \frac{k + \lambda}{\lambda} \left( \frac{\psi(k)}{\varphi(k)} \right)^s, k = 1, 2, \dots; C_0^s = \varphi(0) \right\}$$

belongs to  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$ . Let  $k$  be any positive integer. That

$$g_1(t) = (g(t))^s \in \mathcal{C}^{2\lambda+2}[0, +\infty)$$

allows us to use Taylor's formula for  $g_1(t)$  on  $[0, 1/k]$  at  $t = 0$ , that is, there exists  $0 < \xi_k < 1/k$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \frac{\psi(k)}{\varphi(k)} \right)^s \\ &= g_1\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) \\ &= g_1(0) + g_1^{(1)}(0) \frac{1}{k} + \dots + \frac{g_1^{(2\lambda+1)}(0)}{(2\lambda+1)!} \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^{2\lambda+1} + \frac{g_1^{(2\lambda+2)}(\xi_k)}{(2\lambda+2)!} \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^{2\lambda+2}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.1)$$

By assumptions,  $g_1^{(i)}(0)$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, 2\lambda + 1$ , are constants depending only on  $\varphi$ ,  $\psi$ ,  $s$ , and  $n$ , and

$$|g_1^{(2\lambda+2)}(\xi_k)| \leq c_{\varphi, \psi, s, n}. \quad (6.2)$$

Multiplying (6.1) by  $(n + \lambda)/\lambda$ , we may verify that the sequence of all the first terms

$$\frac{g_1(0)(k + \lambda)}{\lambda} = \frac{c_0(k + \lambda)}{\lambda}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

belongs to  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$ . From [1,2] for  $\alpha > 0$ , we know that  $(k + \lambda)/k^\alpha$  are Gegenbauer-Stieltjes-coefficients of some measure in  $\mathcal{M}$ . For the last term of (6.1), by (6.2) and (2.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{|\mathbb{S}^n|} \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \frac{g_1^{(2\lambda+2)}(\xi_k)}{(2\lambda+2)!} \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^{2\lambda+2} \frac{k + \lambda}{\lambda} C_k^{(\lambda)}(\cos \theta) \sin^{2\lambda} \theta \right| &\leq c_{\varphi, \psi, s, n} \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} \\ &< +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, there exists  $\mu_1 \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda(\mathbb{S}^n)$  such that the corresponding  $\mu_1^*$  is

$$d\mu_1^*(\theta) = \frac{1}{|\mathbb{S}^n|} \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \frac{g_1^{(2\lambda+2)}(\xi_k)}{(2\lambda+2)!} \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^{2\lambda+2} \frac{k + \lambda}{\lambda} C_k^{(\lambda)}(\cos \theta) \sin^{2\lambda} \theta d\theta.$$

It follows that the Gegenbauer-Stieltjes-coefficients of  $\mu_1$  are

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\mu}_1(j) &= |\mathbb{S}^n| m(j, \lambda) \int_0^\pi C_j^{(\lambda)}(\cos \theta) d\mu_1^*(\theta) \\ &= \frac{j + \lambda}{\lambda} \frac{g_1^{(2\lambda+2)}(\xi_j)}{(2\lambda + 2)!} \left(\frac{1}{j}\right)^{2\lambda+2}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots\end{aligned}$$

By Remark 10, since

$$\left\{ \frac{k + \lambda}{\lambda} \frac{g_1^{(2\lambda+2)}(\xi_k)}{(2\lambda + 2)!} \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^{2\lambda+2} \right\}_{k=1}^{+\infty}$$

are Gegenbauer-Stieltjes-coefficients of  $\mu_1$ , we have

$$\left\{ C_k^s = \frac{k + \lambda}{\lambda} \left(\frac{\psi(k)}{\varphi(k)}\right)^s, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots; C_0^s = 0 \right\}$$

belongs to  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$ . Then, by Remark 2, we get

$$\{C_k^s\}_{k=0}^{+\infty} \in (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}) = (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}) \subset (\mathcal{L}^p, \mathcal{L}^p), \quad 1 \leq p < +\infty, \quad -\infty < s < +\infty. \quad (6.3)$$

Next, we prove

$$\mathcal{H}_1(\varphi^s; \mathcal{X}) = \mathcal{H}_1(\psi^s; \mathcal{X}).$$

We will only prove the case of  $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{S}^n)$ ,  $1 \leq p < +\infty$ , and the proof for  $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^n)$  is analogous. For  $f \in \mathcal{H}_1(\psi^s; \mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{S}^n))$ ,  $1 \leq p < +\infty$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , there exists  $g_1 \in \mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{S}^n)$  such that

$$(\psi(k))^s Y_k f = Y_k g_1, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (6.4)$$

Thus, we have

$$(\varphi(k))^s Y_k f = \left(\frac{\varphi(k)}{\psi(k)}\right)^s (\psi(k))^s Y_k f = \frac{\lambda}{k + \lambda} C_k^{-s} Y_k g_1, \quad k \geq 1.$$

It follows from (6.3) that  $C_k^{-s} \in (\mathcal{L}^p, \mathcal{L}^p)$ . Therefore, there exists  $g_2 \in \mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{S}^n)$  such that

$$\frac{\lambda}{k + \lambda} C_k^{-s} Y_k g_1 = Y_k g_2, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (6.5)$$

that is,

$$(\varphi(k))^s Y_k f = Y_k g_2, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

In addition, by (6.4) and (6.5),

$$(\varphi(0))^s Y_0 f = 0 = Y_0 g_2,$$

Therefore,

$$f \in \mathcal{H}_1((\varphi(k))^s; \mathcal{X}).$$

Thus,

$$\mathcal{H}_1(\psi^s; \mathcal{X}) \subset \mathcal{H}_1(\varphi^s; \mathcal{X}).$$

Similarly, we may prove that

$$\mathcal{H}_1(\varphi^s; \mathcal{X}) \subset \mathcal{H}_1(\psi^s; \mathcal{X}).$$

Since  $\varphi_0(k)$  differs  $\varphi(k)$  only by a constant, we have

$$\mathcal{H}_1((\varphi_0)^s; \mathcal{X}) = \mathcal{H}_1(\varphi^s; \mathcal{X}) = \mathcal{H}_1(\psi^s; \mathcal{X}) = \mathcal{H}_1((\psi_0)^s; \mathcal{X}).$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 2* By Remark 4 and Lemma 1, we have

$$\mathcal{D}_1(\mathcal{A}^\alpha) = \mathcal{H}_1(a^\alpha; \mathcal{X}) = \mathcal{H}_1(b^\alpha; \mathcal{X}) = \mathcal{D}_1(\mathcal{B}^\alpha). \quad (6.6)$$

For  $g \in \mathcal{D}_1(\mathcal{A}^\alpha)$ , set

$$h := \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \left( \frac{b(k)}{a(k)} \right)^\alpha Y_k(\mathcal{A}^r g) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} (b(k))^\alpha Y_k(g) \sim \mathcal{B}^r g.$$

We show that

$$\|h\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq c_{a,b,\alpha,n_0} \|\mathcal{A}^r g\|_{\mathcal{X}}.$$

Setting

$$\psi(x) = \left( \frac{b(x)}{a(x)} \right)^\alpha, \quad x \in [0, +\infty),$$

we may verify that

$$|(\psi(x))^{(l+1)}| \leq c_{a,b,\alpha,l} (1+x)^{-(l+2)}, \quad x \geq 1,$$

from which it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |\delta^{l+1} \psi(k)| &\leq \left| \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 \psi^{(l+1)}(x) \Big|_{x=k+u_1+\cdots+u_{l+1}} du_1 \cdots du_{l+1} \right| \\ &\leq c_{a,b,\alpha,l} (1+k)^{-(l+2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.7)$$

Thus, for  $l > \lambda$ , we have

$$\|h\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} |\delta^{l+1} \psi(k)| \binom{k+l}{l} \|\tau_k^l(\mathcal{A}^\alpha g)\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq c_{a,b,\alpha,l,\mathcal{X}} \|\mathcal{A}^\alpha g\|_{\mathcal{X}},$$

where the first inequality uses the summation by parts  $(l+1)$  times, and the second follows from (2.10) and (6.7). Then,

$$\|\mathcal{B}^\alpha g\|_{\mathcal{X}} = \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} (b(k))^\alpha Y_k g \right\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq c_{a,b,\alpha,l,\mathcal{X}} \|\mathcal{A}^\alpha g\|_{\mathcal{X}}.$$

In the same way, we have

$$\|\mathcal{A}^\alpha g\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq c_{a,b,\alpha,l,\mathcal{X}} \|\mathcal{B}^\alpha g\|_{\mathcal{X}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\|\mathcal{A}^\alpha g\|_{\mathcal{X}} \asymp \|\mathcal{B}^\alpha g\|_{\mathcal{X}}, \quad \forall g \in \mathcal{D}_1(\mathcal{A}^\alpha).$$

Hence, by (6.6), for  $f \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $t > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\mathcal{A}^\alpha}(f, t) &= \inf_{g_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1(\mathcal{A}^\alpha)} \{ \|f - g_1\|_{\mathcal{X}} + t^\alpha \|\mathcal{A}^\alpha g_1\|_{\mathcal{X}} \} \\ &\asymp \inf_{g_2 \in \mathcal{D}_1(\mathcal{B}^\alpha)} \{ \|f - g_2\|_{\mathcal{X}} + t^\alpha \|\mathcal{B}^\alpha g_2\|_{\mathcal{X}} \} \\ &= K_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha}(f, t). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 3* First, we prove  $\mathcal{D}_1(\mathcal{A}) \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$ . Set  $f \in \mathcal{D}_1(\mathcal{A})$  and  $Mf \in \mathcal{X}$  such that

$$Mf = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} a_k Y_k f.$$

For  $k \geq 0$  and each fixed  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{S}^n$ ,  $Y_k(f; \mathbf{x})$  is a bounded linear functional on  $\mathcal{X}$ . It commutes with the Bochner integral, see (4.3). Then, for  $k \geq 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} Y_k \left( \int_0^t T_u(Mf) du; \mathbf{x} \right) &= \int_0^t Y_k(T_u(Mf); \mathbf{x}) du \\ &= \int_0^t e^{a_k u} Y_k(Mf; \mathbf{x}) du \\ &= \int_0^t e^{a_k u} a_k Y_k(f; \mathbf{x}) du \\ &= (e^{a_k t} - 1) Y_k(f; \mathbf{x}) \\ &= Y_k(T_t f - f; \mathbf{x}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by the uniqueness theorem, we have

$$\frac{T_t - I}{t} f = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t T_u(Mf) du, \quad \text{a.e.} \quad (6.8)$$

Since the semigroup  $\{T(t) : 0 \leq t < +\infty\}$  is of class  $(\mathcal{C}_0)$ , by (1.8b) and (4.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{T_t - I}{t} f - Mf \right\|_{\mathcal{X}} &= \left\| \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t (T_u(Mf) - Mf) du \right\|_{\mathcal{X}} \\ &\leq \sup_{0 \leq u < t} \|T_u(Mf) - Mf\|_{\mathcal{X}} \\ &\rightarrow 0, \quad t \rightarrow 0+. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$ , and

$$\mathcal{A}f = s\text{-}\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{T_t - I}{t} f = Mf \sim \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} a_k Y_k f.$$

Thus,  $\mathcal{D}_1(\mathcal{A}) \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$ .

On the other hand, for  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  and  $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}^r)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{e^{a_k t} - 1}{t}\right)^r Y_k(f; \mathbf{x}) &= Y_k\left(\left(\frac{T_t - I}{t}\right)^r f; \mathbf{x}\right) \\ &= Y_k\left(\int_0^t \cdots \int_0^t T_{u_1 + \cdots + u_r} \mathcal{A}^r f \, du_1 \cdots du_r; \mathbf{x}\right) \\ &= \int_0^t \cdots \int_0^t e^{a_k(u_1 + \cdots + u_r)} \, du_1 \cdots du_r Y_k(\mathcal{A}^r f; \mathbf{x}) \\ &= \left(\frac{e^{a_k t} - 1}{t}\right)^r (a_k)^{-r} Y_k(\mathcal{A}^r f; \mathbf{x}), \quad k \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows from [6, Proposition 1.1.6]. Hence,

$$Y_k(\mathcal{A}^r f) = (a_k)^r Y_k f, \quad k \geq 0.$$

This means  $f \in \mathcal{D}_1(\mathcal{A}^r)$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}^r) \subset \mathcal{D}_1(\mathcal{A}^r)$ .

To prove (4.5), we notice that

$$\begin{aligned} &Y_k\left(\int_0^t \cdots \int_0^t T_{u_1 + \cdots + u_r} g \, du_1 \cdots du_r; \mathbf{x}\right) \\ &= \int_0^t \cdots \int_0^t e^{a_k(u_1 + \cdots + u_r)} (a_k)^r Y_k(f; \mathbf{x}) \, du_1 \cdots du_r \\ &= (e^{a_k t} - 1)^r Y_k(f; \mathbf{x}) \\ &= Y_k((T_t - I)^r f; \mathbf{x}) \end{aligned} \tag{6.9}$$

which and the uniqueness theorem for the Fourier-Laplace series yield the result. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Acknowledgements** This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 61272023, 91330118) and the Innovation Foundation of Postgraduates of Zhejiang Province of China (No. YK2008066).

## References

1. Askey R, Wainger S. On the behavior of special classes of ultraspherical expansions, I. *J d'Analyse Math*, 1965, 15: 193–220
2. Askey R, Wainger S. On the behavior of special classes of ultraspherical expansions, II. *J d'Analyse Math*, 1965, 15: 221–244

3. Berens H, Butzer P L, Pawelke S. Limitierungsverfahren von reihen mehrdimensionaler kugelfunktionen und deren saturationsverhalten. *Publ Res Inst Math Sci Ser A*, 1968, 4(2): 201–268
4. Bochner S. Quasi analytic functions, Laplace operator, positive kernels. *Ann Math*, 1950, 51(1): 68–91
5. Bochner S. Sturm-Liouville and heat equations whose eigenfunctions are ultraspherical polynomials or associated Bessel functions. In: *Proceedings of the Conference on Differential Equations*. University of Maryland, 1955, 23–48
6. Butzer P L, Berens H. *Semi-groups of Operators and Approximation*. Berlin: Springer, 1967
7. Dai F. Some equivalence theorems with  $K$ -functionals. *J Approx Theory*, 2003, 121: 143–157
8. Dai F, Ditzian Z. Strong converse inequality for Poisson sums. *Proc Amer Math Soc*, 2005, 133(9): 2609–2611
9. Ditzian Z, Ivanov K. Strong converse inequalities. *J d'Analyse Math*, 1993, 61: 61–111
10. Dunkl C F. Operators and harmonic analysis on the sphere. *Trans Amer Math Soc*, 1966, 125(2): 250–263
11. Favard J. Sur l'approximation des fonctions d'une variable reelle. *Colloque d'Anal Harmon Publ CNRS, Paris*, 1949, 15: 97–110
12. Kaczmarz S, Steinhaus H. *Theorie der Orthogonalreihen*. Warsaw: Instytut Matematyczny Polskiej Akademi Nauk, 1935
13. Kuttner B. On positive Riesz and Abel typical means. *Proc Lond Math Soc Ser 2*, 1947, 49(1): 328–352
14. Riemenschneider S, Wang K Y. Approximation theorems of Jackson type on the sphere. *Adv Math (China)*, 1995, 24(2): 184–186
15. Szegő G. *Orthogonal Polynomials*. Providence: Amer Math Soc, 2003
16. Wang K Y, Li L Q. *Harmonic Analysis and Approximation on the Unit Sphere*. Beijing: Science Press, 2006